

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 12/31/09
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| | | | PJs in State: | 5 | | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 98.79 % | 99.93 % | 3 | 96.45 % | 66 | 68 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 95.10 % | 95.44 % | 4 | 87.93 % | 76 | 81 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.82 | 4.23 | 4 | 4.73 | 18 | 15 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 100.00 % | 1 | 83.38 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 93.87 % | 84.06 % | 1 | 70.15 % | 87 | 91 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 89.50 % | 83.14 % | 2 | 80.67 % | 60 | 67 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 46.41 % | 39.57 % | 2 | 45.30 % | 49 | 51 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 98.34 % | 97.66 % | 4 | 95.32 % | 43 | 45 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 2 / 5 | Nationally: | 63 64 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$20,324 | \$16,919 | | \$26,635 | 181 Units | 19.30 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,154 | \$18,933 | | \$14,938 | 257 Units | 27.50 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$22,661 | \$24,290 | | \$20,675 | 45 Units | 4.80 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$320 | \$2,297 | | \$3,216 | 453 Units | 48.40 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (295 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Topeka KS

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$36,213 | \$61,704 | \$26,951 |
| State:* | \$75,819 | \$69,782 | \$23,383 |
| National:** | \$95,185 | \$74,993 | \$23,434 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 2.3 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.8

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 38.7 | 60.7 | 51.1 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 35.9 | 31.5 | 17.8 | 100.0 |
| Black/African American: | 54.7 | 25.7 | 20.0 | 50.0 | Elderly: | 3.9 | 1.6 | 28.9 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 37.6 | 34.6 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 50.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 17.7 | 26.1 | 35.6 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 4.4 | 5.8 | 6.7 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 5.5 | 12.5 | 22.2 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 28.7 | 31.1 | 40.0 | 100.0 | Section 8: | 8.8 | 0.4 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 22.7 | 22.2 | 26.7 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.6 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 21.5 | 18.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 | Other: | 9.4 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 17.1 | 21.8 | 11.1 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 81.2 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 6.6 | 4.7 | 11.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 2.2 | 1.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.6 | 0.8 | 4.4 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 23 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Topeka State: KS Group Rank: 63
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 2 / 5 PJs Overall Rank: 64
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 76.20% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 50.90% | 93.87 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 89.5 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.88% | 98.34 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.550 | 0.8 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

